

<<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

图书基本信息

书名：<<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

13位ISBN编号：9787562238126

10位ISBN编号：756223812X

出版时间：2009-2

出版时间：华中师范大学出版社

作者：刘东虹

页数：273

版权说明：本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介，请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问：<http://www.tushu007.com>

## <<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

### 前言

目前国内外对于回指的研究主要是名词性回指。

不同的研究者从不同的角度对回指现象进行了研究，如句法、语义、语用、认知、心理以及人工智能。

句法和语义研究主要集中在回指的句法和语义特征上。

语言中的结构制约使得不同类型的名词性词语有不同的句法分布和语义解释。

句法和语义研究者总是用简洁精确的形式阐述这些制约规则。

此类研究的局限性在于仅仅分析句内回指，而实际上大多数回指表现在句与句之间，即篇章回指。

其使用和理解受语用和认知因素的影响。

语用和认知研究主要说明回指语类型的选择受篇章条件的制约，可能具有某些特殊的语用含义。

这些研究只局限于回指语的使用方面，很少涉及回指语的理解以及语境对回指理解和篇章理解的作用。

回指的心理研究和人工智能研究则侧重于回指的理解。

以上这些研究主要针对名词性回指，其研究结果无法适用于命题和概念做先行语的回指，即抽象实体回指。

目前对于抽象实体回指的部分现象学者略有局部或零散的提及。

如Chu (1998) 区分了广义回指和狭义回指，把动词回指、副词回指和小句回指归入广义回指；许余龙 (2002) 给回指分类时也提到这种回指；Cornish (1986) 和Webber (1988) 也扼要地分析过句子回指；Kamp (1981) 的“语篇表征理论 (DRT)”从动态的角度研究抽象实体回指中的个别现象。

不过这些学者只是在研究具体事物回指时偶尔提及属于抽象实体回指的一些现象，并未对此做过深入系统的研究。

当前只有Asher (1993) 提出的“语段表征理论 (SDRT)”专门用于抽象实体的回指解决。

## <<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

### 内容概要

《议论文体中的抽象实体回指》的创新之处在确定了抽象实体回指是一种独立于其他回指的形式。将这种现象作为一种特殊类别的回指处理，研究其语用特征及理解规律，研究方式在采用实证与思辨的有机结合的基础上也有创新，不仅对英语中的抽象实体回指和具体事物回指进行定性与定量分析和比较，而且对汉语中的回指现象也进行了系统的分析比较，侧重思辨，辅以实证，并基于语料中对SDRT理论进行质疑与改进，提出新的解决办法。

## &lt;&lt;议论文体中的抽象实体回指&gt;&gt;

## 书籍目录

序 Acknowledgements Chapter 1 Introduction 1.1 The Definition of Abstract Entity Anaphora 1.2 Differences between Abstract Entity Anaphora and Other Kinds of Anaphora 1.2.1 Abstract Entity Anaphora and Concrete Individual Anaphora 1.2.2 Abstract Entity Anaphora, Extended Reference and Text Reference 1.2.3 Abstract Entity Anaphora and Deep Anaphora 1.2.4 Abstract Entity Anaphora and Associative Anaphora 1.3 The Necessity of the Study of Abstract Entity Anaphora in Argumentative Texts 1.3.1 The Limitations of the Previous Study of Abstract Entity Anaphora 1.3.2 Characteristics of Argumentative Text 1.3.3 Argumentative Text and Abstract Entity Anaphora 1.4 Research Purpose and Significance 1.5 Organization of the Study Chapter 2 Pragmatic Features of Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.1 Introduction 2.2 The Written Argumentative Data 2.2.1 The Sources of the Argumentative Texts 2.2.2 The Criteria of Data Collection and the Content of Data Analyses 2.2.2.1 The Criteria of the Data Collection 2.2.2.1.1 Anaphors 2.2.2.1.2 Antecedents 2.2.2.2 The Content of Data Analyses 2.3 Pragmatic Functions of Abstract Entity Anaphors 2.3.1 Recapitulation 2.3.2 Obscuration 2.3.3 Demarcation 2.4 Text Environment of Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.4.1 Background 2.4.2 Text Environment of English Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.4.2.1 Ariel's Work on English Concrete Individual Anaphora 2.4.2.2 The Present Study of English Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.4.3 Text Environment of Chinese Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.4.3.1 The Study of Chinese Concrete Individual Anaphora by 许余龙 2.4.3.2 The Present Study of Chinese Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.4.4 Comparisons between English and Chinese Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.5 Pragmatic Tendency of Abstract Entity Anaphora 2.6 Backwards Anaphora 2.7 Summary Chapter 3 Discourse Structure and Discourse Anaphora 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Rhetorical Structure Theory (RST) by Mann and Thompson 3.2.1 Main Idea 3.2.2 Comment 3.3 Rhetorical Structure by Fox 3.3.1 Main Idea 3.3.1.1 Basic Units 3.3.1.2 Rhetorical Relations 3.3.1.3 Anaphora 3.3.2 Comment 3.4 Linguistic Discourse Model (LDM) by Polanyi 3.4.1 Main Idea 3.4.2 Comment 3.5 Other Related Studies 3.6 Discourse Representation Theory 3.6.1 Main Idea 3.6.1.1 DRS Construction 3.6.1.2 DRT and Anaphora Resolution 3.6.2 Comment 3.7 Taking Stock Chapter 4 SDRT and Abstract Entity Anaphora 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Discourse Relations and Topic 4.2.1 Discourse Relations 4.2.2 Topic 4.3 SDRS Construction 4.3.1 Determining the Basic Constituents 4.3.2 Constraints on Possible Sites for Constituent Attachment 4.3.3 SDRS Updating 4.3.4 Constituent Revision 4.4 SDRT and Abstract Entity Anaphora 4.4.1 Constraints on Abstract Entity Anaphora 4.4.2 AVAILABILITY and Constituent Revision 4.4.2.1 AVAILABILITY and Topic Revision 4.4.2.2 AVAILABILITY and Discourse Relation-based Revision 4.4.3 More Application to Abstract Entity Anaphora 4.4.3.1 Event and Propositional Anaphora 4.4.3.2 Concept Anaphora 4.4.3.3 Discourse Subordination 4.5 Application of SDRT to Chinese Abstract Entity Anaphora 4.6 SDRT and Backwards Anaphora 4.7 Support from Cognitive Psychology 4.7.1 Situation Model 4.7.2 Resonance Model 4.8 Summary Chapter 5 Inadequacies of SDRT and Suggested Solutions 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Inadequacies 5.2.1 Discourse Relations 5.2.2 Topic Construction 5.2.3 The Role of the Topic 5.2.4 Explicit Referent Identification 5.2.5 Implicit Referent Identification 5.2.6 Reference Ambiguity Resulting from Double Attachment Sites 5.2.7 Long-distance Anaphora 5.3 Suggested Solutions 5.3.1 Simplification of the Discourse Relations in SDRT 5.3.1.1 Background 5.3.1.2 Proposed Categorization 5.3.1.3 Effect of the Simplification 5.3.2 Topic Construction of Binary Structures 5.3.3 Topic Dominating Role 5.3.4 Explicit Referent Interpretation 5.3.4.1 Semantic Information in Anaphoric Sentence 5.3.4.2 Semantic Information in the Sentences before the Anaphoric Sentence 5.3.4.3 Semantic Information in the Anaphor 5.3.5 Proposition Abstraction for Implicit Referent 5.3.5.1 Indirectness, Inference and Proposition Abstraction 5.3.5.2 Antecedent Types for Proposition Abstraction 5.3.5.3 Triggers for Proposition Abstraction 5.4 Summary Chapter 6 Processing of Reference Ambiguity and Long-distance Anaphora 6.1 Introduction 6.2 Solutions to Reference Ambiguity 6.2.1 Context and Intension 6.2.2 Tendency Investigation 6.2.2.1 Background 6.2.2.2 Processing Strategies in Three Types of Discourse 6.2.2.3 Questionnaire and Procedure 6.2.2.4 Results 6.2.2.5 Discussion 6.2.2.6 Conclusion 6.3 Long-distance Anaphora 6.3.1 Background 6.3.2 Theoretical Bases 6.3.2.1 Van Dijk's Superstructure 6.3.2.2 Van Eemeren et al.'s Argumentation Structures and Reconstruction 6.3.3 Hypothesis Formulation 6.3.3.1 Proposed Superstructure of Argumentative Texts 6.3.3.2 Hypothesis about the Resolution of Long-distance Anaphora 6.3.4

<<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

Instances of Application  
6.3.4.1 Standpoint as the Antecedent  
6.3.4.2 Question or Background as the Antecedent  
6.3.4.3 Arguments as the Antecedents  
6.3.5 The Role of Semantic Chains in Referent Interpretation  
6.3.5.1 The Role of Semantic Chains  
6.3.5.2 Psychological Warrant  
6.4 Summary  
Chapter 7  
Conclusion  
7.1 Achievements of This Study  
7.2 Limitations and Suggestions for Future Research  
Appendix  
Bibliography

<<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

章节摘录

Chapter 1 Introduction      1.1 The Definition of Abstract Entity Anaphora      Abstract entities originally fall into a philosophical category , yet they have linguistic aspects and are discussed by some linguists such as Lyons ( 1977 ) , Asher ( 1993 ) , Vendler ( 1967 ) , Smith ( 2003 ) and Peterson ( 1997 ) . But Lyons' abstract entities correspond only in part to the other linguists'. Lyons classifies entities in the world into three kinds. First-order entities are physical objects that are publicly observable , relatively constant as to their perceptual properties and located in a three-dimensional space. Second-order entities mean events , processes , state-of-affairs etc. that are said to occur or take place rather than to exist. They are observable and have a temporal duration. Third-order entities refer to such abstract entities as propositions which are outside space and time. The abstract entities proposed by Asher et al. cover not only third-order entities but also second-order entities. According to Asher ( 1993 ) , abstract entities refer to propositions , concepts , facts and events , as can be seen in the following underlined parts.

<<议论文体中的抽象实体回指>>

版权说明

本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介，请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:<http://www.tushu007.com>